to capture the ARCH effects). The usual GARCH restrictions for non-negativity and stationarity were imposed. C_t is the time-varying correlation matrix:

$$\mathbf{C}_{t} = diag\{\mathbf{Q}_{t}\}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}_{t}diag\{\mathbf{Q}_{t}\}^{-1}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_{t} = \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{P} \alpha_{p} - \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \beta_{q}\right) \overline{\mathbf{Q}} + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \alpha_{p} \left(\mathbf{s}_{t-p} \mathbf{s}_{t-p}^{T}\right) + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \beta_{q} \mathbf{Q}_{t-q}$$
(5)

$$\rho_{t,i,j} = \frac{q_{t,i,j}}{\sqrt{q_{t,i,i}} q_{t,j,j}}, \ i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; i \neq j$$
(6)

where \mathbf{s}_t are standardized residuals, $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is the unconditional correlation matrix in dynamic correlation structure \mathbf{Q}_t and $\rho_{i,j,t}$ are the estimated dynamic conditional correlations. The DCC parameters α_p and β_q are estimated via maximum likelihood, for further details see Engle and Sheppard [25] and Engle [26].

The estimation of DCC within a single large system is known to produce biased correlations. It is possible to employ the MacGyver methodology proposed by Engle [31], where α_p and β_q are estimated as the medians of the corresponding coefficients obtained from bivariate DCC MV-GARCH models for all of the series. Nevertheless, the dynamics of the estimated correlations will be very similar because for all pairs of stocks, the α_p and β_q will eventually be the same. We have therefore decided to undertake the entire analysis using individual bivariate DCC. Newer approaches by Hafner and Reznikova [32] and Aielli and Caporin [33] could also be considered.

After calculating all of the bivariate DCCs, correlation matrices \mathbf{C}_{t}^{DCC} of size $N \ge N$ are formed. These are then transformed into distance matrices \mathbf{D}_{t}^{DCC} , as in Mategna [1], which are used to construct the MST_t.

Rolling correlations were calculated using correlation coefficients $\rho_{t,i,j}$:

$$\rho_{t,i,j} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(S_{t,i} - \overline{S}_{t,i} \right) \left(S_{t,j} - \overline{S}_{t,j} \right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(S_{t,i} - \overline{S}_{t,i} \right)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(S_{t,j} - \overline{S}_{t,j} \right)^2}}$$
(7)

These coefficients form a correlation matrix \mathbf{C}_{t}^{RC} of size $N \ge N$. The returns vector is then drifted by dr = 1 day forward, and a new \mathbf{C}_{t+1}^{RC} is calculated in the same way. The correlation matrices are then transformed into distance matrices \mathbf{D}_{t}^{RC} . Finally, MSTs are calculated using Prim's algorithm [34] (see also Kruskal [35], Papadimitrou and Steigliz [36]).

Table 1 summarizes the number of constituents for each sample. We only retained stocks where the daily closing prices were available for the entire period. Further on, we were unable to fit a suitable mean or variance equation model to some series; these series were also removed from the final samples.

Table 1 The number of observations and stocks in the window analyzed, 04.02.2010 - 11.11.2011, with T = 449, N = 79 constituents. Sample designations are in brackets.

Windows and samples	DCC	RC, <i>dr</i> = 1
w = 1000 (T' = 1449) 14.02.2006 - 11.11.2011	[DCC-1000]	[RC-1000]
w = 500 (T' = 949) 11.02.2008 - 11.11.2011	[DCC-500]	[RC-500]